DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

EX PARTE OR LATE FILEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20564

1 5 JUN 1993



Honorable Porter Goss House of Representatives 330 Cannon Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Goss:

This is in reply to your letter of May 26, 1993, in which you inquired on behalf of several of your constituents regarding the Notice of Proposed Rule Making (Notice) in PR Docket No. 92-235, 67 FR 54034 (1992). This Notice proposes comprehensive changes to the Commission's Rules governing the private land mobile radio services operating in the frequency bands below 512 MHz.

Your constituents are specifically concerned about the impact of these changes on radio control (R/C) hobby users. Enclosed is a discussion paper concerning our proposals for the 72-76 MHz band. In short, we expect there would be no adverse impact on R/C operations because of any proposal contained in the Notice.

We are, of course, sensitive to the concerns of both users of private land mobile radio spectrum and R/C hobbyists. We will, therefore, take your constituents' concerns into account when we develop final rules in this proceeding. indicated in the Notice, we remain convinced that without significant regulatory change in radio operations in the bands below 512 MHz, the quality of communications in the private land mobile radio services will continue to deteriorate to the point of endangering public safety and the national economy.

We want to thank you for your interest in this proceeding. Your constituents' letters will be included in the record of the proceeding. We expect final rules to be issued in 1994.

Sincerely,

Joseph A. Levin Chief, Policy & Planning Branch Private Radio Bureau

Enclosures

No. of Copies rec'd

Congressional

DUE: 6-16-93

PLEASE MAKE 2 EXTRA COPIES OF INCOMING, ATTACHMENTS, AND REPLY FOR DOCKET FILE, ROOM 222.

CONGRESSIONAL CORRESPONDENCE TRACKING SYSTEM

06/08/93

		LETTER REPORT			
CONTROL NO.	DATE RECEIVED	DATE OF CORRESP	DATE DUE	DATE DUE	OLA (857)
9302284	06/08/93	05/26/93	06/21/93		
TITLE	MEMBERS	NAME	REPLY FOR	SIG OF	
Congressman	Porter	Goss	BC		
CONSTITUI	ENT'S NAME	SI	JBJECT		
several cons	stituents inq.	comments on PR I	Oocket 92-23	55	
REF TO	REF TO	REF TO	REF	TO	Jun
PRB/KM					
PRB/KM 6-9-93					
DATE	DATE	DATE		DATE	
06/08/93					
					ş. Å
REMARKS:					رن

PORTER GOSS

330 CANNON BUILDING WASHINGTON, DC 20515-0913 (202) 225-2536

COMMITTEES: RULES STANDARDS OF OFFICIAL CONDUCT

Congress of the United States

House of Representatives Washington, BC 20515-0914

May 26,1993

O Ross

DISTRICT OFFICES
2000 MAIN STREET
SUITE 303
FT. MYERS, FL 33901
[813] 332-4677

3301 TAMIAMI TRAIL EAST BUILDING F, SUITE 212 NAPLES, FL 33962 (813) 774-8060

> PUNTA GORDA (813) 639-0051

2284

Ms. Linda Townsend Solheim Director, Office of Legislative Affairs Federal Communications Commission 1919 M street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Ms. Solheim:

For reference, please find enclosed sample correspondences (of about 80 total) from constituents in my district regarding the implementation of the FCC's Notice of Proposed Rule Making (NPRM - PR Docket 92-235).

Best regards,

forta

Porter Goss Member of Congress

PJG/hp Enclosures 881 N Barfield Dr Marco Island FL 33937 February 26, 1993 MAR 03 1993

The Honorable Porter Goss 224 Cannon House Office Building Washington DC 20515 Chu

Dear Mr Goss,

I am seeking your assistance in preventing the implementation of the Federal Communication Commission's Notice of Proposed Rule Making (NPRM - PR Docket 92-235) which, if implemented, will have a profoundly negative effect on radio controlled model frequency use.

I am a member of an Academy of Model." Aeronautics (AMA) chartered radio controlled model airplane club of 160 members. The proposed rules would severely impact our ability to safely enjoy this recreational activity. They would also render our present radio equipment obsolete! This would be a considerable financial loss to us. The radio equipment we presently use is state-of-the-art equipment. We have, just recently (1991), voluntarily upgraded our radio equipment in order to co-exist safely with the commercial users that now share the 72 MHz band with us. The ability to further upgrade in order to safely operate the proposed environment, to our knowledge, is not possible with existing technology. Technology capable of safely operating with a 2.5 MHz bandwidth, if and when available, would be beyond the means of the hundreds of thousands of active radio control enthusiasts that now safely use the 72 MHz and 75 MHz bands.

It is very unfair of the FCC to seek to improve the operating conditions of land mobile radio users at the expense of the present users of the 72 MHz and 75 MHz bands. We ask your help and support in persuading the FCC to reconsider their thinking on the portion of **PR Docket 92-235** that affects the hundreds of thousands of present users of the 72 MHz and 75 MHz bands.

Yours truly,

William P Berry AMA # 396925 Collier Model Aeronautic Club

Naples FL

The Honorable Porter Goss U.S. House of Reps. Washington, D.C. 20515 1-28-93 NPRM-PR Docket 92-235 The Honorable Porter J. Goss U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Representative Goss:

I am writing to you in regards to the Federal Communications Commission's Notice of Proposed Rule Making (NPRM-PR Docket 92-235), as developed by the FCC Land Mobile Service.

The intent here is to dovetail new Commercial frequency uses within our already established channels within the 72 and 75 MHz bands that would, in some instances place them only 2.5 kHz away from our lower-powered transmitter use.

As a member of the Academy of Model Aeronautics and an active member of the South West Florida R/C Aeromodelers, building and flying radio-controlled model aircraft is very important to me.

Even now, with conditions as they are at present, our radio-control use is constantly being infringed upon by high powered Commercial users with drastic results to our members.

To be specific, these spurious transmissions that can over-ride our lower powered transmitters, or worse, send improper information to the small aircraft receivers, interfere with the ability of the aircraft to respond properly and can end with the violent crash of a model airplane and has resulted in personal injuries and property damage.

There are presently millions of active radio-control model builders here in the United States alone, and for them to be faced with an arbitray action on the part of the FCC that jeopardizes the integrity and safety of this sport is unrealistic.

Please do not support nor vote for the passage of this infamous (NPRM-PR Docket 92-235).

If the FCC is successful with this travesty they will surely destroy the R/C sport as we know it today, along with its supporting industry.

Sincerely,

Col Hallet 9279 Duke Pel Benitasprings Fel. 33923 AMA #17845

106758 FEB 0 1 1993

11997

CT11

1-29-93

Dear Representative Goss,

Please do not support the proposed FCC rules changes in PR Docket 92-235.

I am an active builder and flyer of radio controled model aircraft and have a substantial investment in radio control equipment.

The proposed changes, which are intended to increase the 72-76 band frequencies available for land mobile use, would make much of my equipment useless.

The danger of crashes of the models which average 10 lbs. and travel at 70 MPH could cause serious injury and property damage, due to interference with the proposed land mobile frequencies.

I don't think it is right for the FCC to increase frequencies for land mobile use by causing interference with frequencies which are now approved by the FCC for radio controled model aircraft.

Your support on this matter will be appreciated.

Yours truly,

Charles H. Stumps

1040 SE 43 ST

Cape Coral, F1 33904

CT997

#146183 FEB 02 1993

Feb.1, 1993

The Honorable Porter Goss 2000 Main St. Suite 407 Ft. Myers F1. 33901



Dear Representative;

I am a resident of Southwest Florida, where many people come to enjoy the great outdoors. One of the advantages to this warm and sunny area is the opportunity to build and fly radio controlled model aircraft all year round.

At this time I am very concerned about proposed rules that are currently under consideration by the Federal Communications Commission. The proceeding is PR Docket 92-235. If adopted, the new rules will greatly reduce the usability of frequencies currently assigned for model use and increase the risk of accidents and attendant liability for controlling model airplanes.

Our radio control frequencies are in the 72-76 MHz band. :This band is primarily used for private land mobile dispatch operations. However, our radio control frequencies in this band are far enough apart from the land mobile frequencies that we have been able to share the band withoutr either use interfering with the other.

Now the FCC wants to create more land mobile frequencies by

	1		
			_
<u> </u>			_
<u>, </u>			_
S.A.			
·- F			
•			_
			1
			,
<u> </u>	\		=
re-			_
 ' 			_
1/3			
- <u> </u>	,	· ·	
<u> </u>	·		_
Francisco de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la companya de la companya de la companya del companya de la companya della companya de la companya de la companya de la companya della companya de la companya de la companya della companya dell			
The state of the s			=
	JF-		=
(=
			Ξ
I,			_
·			
<u> </u>			
-			_
€- <u></u>			_
T:_\			
		·	_
· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			_
Ar			